



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States, Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/921,504	08/02/2001	Daniel R. Drake	RSW920010025US1	6105
7590 04/22/2004			EXAMINER	
Mark D. Simpson, Esquire			KENDALL, CHUCK O	
Synnestvedt & Lechner 2600 Aramark Tower			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1101 Market Street			2122	5
Philadelphia, P	'A 19107-2950		DATE MAILED: 04/22/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

In

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/921,504	DRAKE ET AL.			
· Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Chuck O Kendall	2122			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vortices to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. I the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 A	<u>ugust 2001</u> .				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This	2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-28 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Burear * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 2122

## **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. This action is in response to the application filed 08/02/01.
- 2. Claims 1 28 have been examined.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Shrader et al. USPN 5,867,713 (hereinafter "Shrader").

Regarding claims 1 & 8, Shrader anticipates a method (24: 1 - 25: 10), system (25:10 – 26:5) and computer program product (26:5 – 33) of integrating the installation, on one or more target machines, of software prerequisites with a **to-be-installed (TBI)** (2: 47, see **to be installed** as well) software application, comprising the steps of:

determining if said TBI software application requires any software prerequisites (2: 30 – 35, for prerequisite objects and also refer to 2: 47 – 50, for prevalidated);

obtaining all required software prerequisites (2: 35 – 37, see necessary files to install);

creating a super image comprising the TBI software application wrapped with said software prerequisites (for super image, see 2: 40 – 45, for install plan object); and

Art Unit: 2122

distributing said super image to all machines on which said software application is **to be installed** (2:45 – 48, see application **program to be installed**).

Regarding claims 2 & 9, a method as set forth in claim 1, wherein said step of creating a super image comprises at least the steps of:

defining an object model representing the integrated software installation (for object model see, install plan object in 2: 40 – 45, for install plan object); and

populating the object model with attributes and methods to describe the TBI software application and said **required software prerequisites** (2: 47 - 50, see adding child objects to installation plan if required).

Regarding claims 3 & 10, a method as set forth in claim 2, wherein said step of creating a super image further comprises at least the step of instantiating one or more objects according to the defined object model, and wherein the populating step populates the instantiated object(s) (see 7: 23 – 26, for reuse and instances (*instantiating*) of objects for multiple plan objects).

Regarding claims 4 & 11, a method as set forth in claim 3, wherein the instantiating step instantiates an object for the TBI software application and one or more component objects for each of said prerequisites (3: 13 – 17, see customization).

Regarding claims 5 & 12, a method as set forth in claim 4, further comprising the step of using the populated object model to install the TBI software application (2: 45 – 55).

Regarding claims 6 & 13, a method as set forth in claim 5, wherein the step of using the populated object model further comprises at least the steps of:

Art Unit: 2122

identifying one or more target machines on which the TBI software application is to be installed (8:9-16 & 25-35);

**downloading the super image** to the identified target machines (6: 15 – 18, see other workstations in the network and **receive software images**); and

performing an installation at each of the identified target machines using the downloaded super image (FIG. 10, 1015, 1025).

Regarding claims 7 & 14, a method as set forth in claim 1, wherein said super image is a temporary file that is deleted from said target machines upon completion of the installation process (16:1-5), see removed as executed).

Regarding claim 15, the system version of claim 1, see rationale as previously discussed above.

Regarding claim 16, the system version of claim 2, see rationale as previously discussed above.

Regarding claim 17, the system version of claim 3, see rationale as previously discussed above.

Regarding claim 18, the system version of claim 4, see rationale as previously discussed above.

Regarding claim 19, the system version of claim 5, see rationale as previously discussed above.

Regarding claim 20, the system version of claim 6, see rationale as previously discussed above.

Art Unit: 2122

Regarding claim 21, the system version of claim 7, see rationale as previously discussed above.

Regarding claim 22, computer program product version of claim 1, see rationale as previously discussed above.

Regarding claim 23, computer program product version of claim 2, see rationale as previously discussed above.

Regarding claim 24, computer program product version of claim 3, see rationale as previously discussed above.

Regarding claim 25, computer program product version of claim 4, see rationale as previously discussed above.

Regarding claim 26, computer program product version of claim 5, see rationale as previously discussed above.

Regarding claim 27, computer program product version of claim 6, see rationale as previously discussed above.

Regarding claim 28, computer program product version of claim 7, see rationale as previously discussed above.

## Correspondence Information

6. Any inquires concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chuck O. Kendall who may be reached via telephone at (703) 308-6608. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday between 8:00 A.M. and 5:00 P.M. est.

Art Unit: 2122

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tuan Dam can be reached at (703) 305-4552.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

For facsimile (fax) send to central FAX number 703-872-9306 and 703-7467240 draft.

Chuck &. Kendall

Coftware Engineer Patent Examiner

United States Department of Commerce

TUAN DAM SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER